How pervasive is non-at issue content?

The past decade has seen many analyses of phenomena where certain meaning components get classified as assertoric inertia, or, more generally, as non-at-issue inferences. Off issue meanings occur with a surprisingly varied set of phenomena, ranging from nominal appositives (i) to expressives in (ii):

(i) Jake, a famous boxer, lives in Utrecht.

Off-issue inference: Jake is a famous boxer.

(ii) Where are my damn keys?

Off-issue inference: The speaker is agitated.

This variety begs the question whether non-at issueness is a truly uniform phenomenon. I will make a personal tour of some expressions and constructions reviewing their potential to contribute inferences that are not at issue and assessing our methods of establishing the information status of the propositions in question. They include: marked nominal appositives ("for example, a boxer", "particularly boxers"), predicates of personal taste, exclamatives and quantifiers.